

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,996	08/29/2003	Boris Y. Tsirline	047717/274791	1995
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 10/25/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			DAO, MINH D	
	NC 28280-4000	£ 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/604,996 Examiner	TSIRLINE ET AL.			
	•	Art Unit			
The MAII INC DATE of this communication	MINH D. DAO	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC (6(a). In no event, however, may a re- ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. pply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Au	igust 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E			' .		
Disposition of Claims	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
· _	and the anti-				
4) Claim(s) 1-15-19,21-25 is/are pending in the ap	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	In from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		`.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-15-19,21-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	• • •	` ,	d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. &	110(a) (d) or (f)	-		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. g	119(a)-(d) 01 (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	•	eceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, ,,,	ranciuad			
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	i the certified copies not i	eceived.			
	•				
Attachment(s)	·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/604,996

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 08/17/07 with respect to claims 15-19, 21-25 have

been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the

references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by

combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention

where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the

references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary

skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In

re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation

to combine reference Forster and the Admitted Prior Art was to keep the insertion loss.

mismatch, undesirable coupling among elements to a minimum. In addition, the

background of any patent application is a prior art that is well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,996

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forster (US 2004/0195319) in view of Admitted Prior Art (APA) submitted by Applicant and further in view of Nash (US 4,371,876).

Regarding claim 15, Forster teaches a near field coupling device comprising: a plurality of lines electrically interconnected in parallel (see figs. 9,10; section [0083]); and a terminating resistor coupled to the lines (see section [0116]). However, Forster does not mention a ground plane spaced away from the plurality of lines. Admitted Prior Art submitted by Applicant in fig. 1 of the specifications teaches such limitation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the teaching of Admitted Prior Art submitted by Applicant in order to keep the insertion loss, mismatch, undesirable coupling among elements to a minimum.

Still regarding claim 15, the combination of Foster and the APA does not mention that the terminating resistor is selected not to match a characteristic impedance of the plurality of lines. Nash, in an analogous art, teaches an slot array antenna having shunt conductances and a mismatched terminating network comprised a terminating resistor for the purpose of providing different antenna patterns based on desired amplitude and phase of each slot. The values of the capacitance and resistance and their relative positions are determined by a standard Smith chart techniques so that the impedance looking into the termination just to the right of the last conductance is a complement of the impedance looking back towards the generator at the same point (see figs. 5,6; col. 5, lines 19-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to introduce the above teaching of Nash to Foster and the APA in order to have a antenna system capable of providing multiple antenna patterns as taught by Nash.

Regarding claim 16, the combination of Forster, APA and Nash teaches the near field coupling device of claim 15, wherein the plurality of lines are formed as at least a first trace on a printed circuit board and the ground plane is formed as a second trace on a printed circuit board (see figs. 2a and 2b of APA).

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Forster, APA and Nash teaches the near field coupling device of claim 15, wherein at least one of the plurality of lines has a zig-zag characteristic (see figs. 9 and 10 of Forster).

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Forster, APA and Nash teaches the near field coupling device of claim 15, wherein the plurality of lines are spatially aligned coplanar and parallel to each other (see figs. 1, 2a, 2b of APA).

Regarding claim 19, the combination of Forster, APA and Nash teaches the near field coupling device of claim 15, wherein the length, width and interspacing of the plurality of lines is selected for a desired bandwidth (see Forster sections [0070-0071]).

4. Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forster (US 2004/0195319) in view Admitted Prior Art (APA) submitted by Applicant, Nash (US 4,371,876) and further in view of Petteruti et al. (US 6,409,401).

Regarding claim 21, the combination of Forster, APA and Nash, as mentioned above, teaches a near field coupler for communication with an transponder located in a transponder operating region, comprising: a near field coupler having a plurality of lines coupled to a terminating resistor selected not to match a characteristic impedance of the plurality of lines (see Nash, fig. 3B; col. 1, lines 5-27; col. 3, lines 8-48; col. 4, lines 35-46; col. 5, lines 6-16; and col. 7, lines 32-45); the near field coupler receiving an RF communication signal and configured to produce an array of spaced near field concentrations responsive to the RF communication signal (see Forster, figs. 1-10). However, Forster, APA and Nash do not disclose that the spacing of the near field

Application/Control Number: 10/604,996

Art Unit: 2618

concentrations along a predetermined direction being significantly less than a smallest dimension of the transponder in the predetermined direction such that the transponder overlaps and is excited by a plurality of the field component when located in the transponder operating region. Petteruti discloses a system comprising an antenna 23 and RFID encoder 22, which serves as a transceiver adapted to communicate with a single transponder 16a located in a predetermined transponder operating region; the system configured to establish at predetermined transceiver power levels a mutual coupling which is selective exclusively for the single transponder located in the transponder operation region; transporting a web of labels through the transponder operating region, at least some of which labels have an RFID transponder, and wherein the method includes printing on the labels via print head 18; incrementally advancing the transponder within the transponder operating region, if the transponder is located at a field strength gap of the transponder operating region (i.e., via gap sensor 29); positioning a transponder in a transponder operating region with a transponder axis oriented along a predetermined direction (i.e., printing direction), the smallest dimension of the transponder in the predetermined direction being significantly less than a dimension of the transponder operating region in the predetermined direction (figs. 1-2; col. 2, line 46 through col. 4, line 32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Petteruti to Forster, APA and Nash in order for the combined system to establish predetermined power levels a transponder as taught by Petteruti.

Regarding claim 22, the combination of Forster, APA, Nash and Petteruti teaches the coupler of claim 21 wherein said near field concentrations are formed by lines configured in an array with a spaced parallel geometry (see figs 9 and 10 of Forster).

Regarding claim 23, the combination of Forster, APA, Nash and Petteruti teaches the coupler of claim 22 wherein said lines comprise leaky edges formed in a microstrip coupler (see figs. 2a, 2b of APA).

Regarding claim 24, the combination of Forster, APA, Nash and Petteruti teaches the coupler of claim 22 wherein said lines have a Zig-zag configuration (see figs. 9 and 10 of Forster).

Regarding claim 25, the combination of Forster, APA, Nash and Petteruti teaches the coupler of claim 22 wherein said lines are formed as a trace on a printed circuit board having a separate ground plane (see figs. 1, 2a and 2b of APA).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MINH DAO AU 2618

Mlusto. Lao